

## STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

# 20 Heradale Parade, Batemans Bay / Lot 1 DP 1135117

To accompany the Development Application submitted to Eurobodalla Shire Council for a Proposed new Medium-density multi-unit housing development comprised of 60 Units.

Prepared by Place Studio Rev B | 10 September 2024



I ∠ - Place Studio Au Pty Ltd
 - ↓ I
 Place Studio.com.au
 Nominated Architect: J. Alexander-Hatziplis #10535 (NSW)

## CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
.1	Description of site and locality	5
.2	Description of proposal	6
<b>`</b>	PLANNING CONSTRAINTS	8
<b>2</b> 2.1		8
2.2	Solar Access	
	Overlooking	8
2.3	Car Parking & Infrastructure	8
2.4	Natural Hazards	9
3	SITE SUITABILITY	10
3.1	Location	10
3.2	Character	10
3.3	Visual Compatibility	11
3.4	Zoning	11
3.5	Use of the Land	11
5.0		
1	STATUTORY PLANNING	12
4.1	State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP)	12
1.2	SEPP Biodiversity & Conservation 2021	13
1.3	SEPP Building Sustainability Index: BASIX 2004	13
1.4	SEPP Exempt & Complying Development Codes 2008	13
1.5	SEPP Housing 2021	13
4.6	SEPP Industry & Employment 2021	13
4.7	SEPP Planning Systems 2021	13
4.8	SEPP Primary Production 2021	13
4.9	SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021	14
4.10	SEPP 65 – Apartment Design Guide	14
4.11	Eurobodalla Shire Local Environmental Plan 2012	15
4.12	Eurobodalla Shire Development Control Plan 2011	18
5	DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT	27
5.1	Traffic	27
5.2	Economic	27
5.3	Public interest	27
5.4	Overshadowing	27
5.5	Noise	27
5.6	Accessibility	28
5.7	Privacy	28
5.8	Views	28
5.9	Flooding	28
5.10	Biodiversity	28
5.11	Bushfire	28
5.12	Sustainability & Energy Efficiency	28
5.13	Waste Management	28
5.14	Submissions	28
6	CONCLUSION	29

#### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS 20 Heradale Parade, Batemans Bay / Lot 1 DP 1135117



## FIGURES

Figure 1	Locality Plan	6
Figure 2	Site Plan	7
Figure 3	Acid Sulphate soils	9
Figure 4	Zoning Map	10
Figure 5	Coastal Environment & Coastal Use Area Map	14
Figure 6	Land Use Zones	15
Figure 7	Height of Building	16

## ANNEXURES

Annexure 1	Submission under Clause 4.6 of Eurobodalla LEP 2012
Annexure 2	SEPP 65 – Apartment Design Guide Compliance

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This Statement of Environmental Effects is to be read in conjunction with the plans and documents submitted for the full Development Application submission for the property at 20 Heradale Parade, Batemans Bay.

The proposal has been designed in accordance with the Eurobodalla Local Environment Plan 2012 (LEP), Eurobodalla Development Control Plan (DCP) for residential zones, the Batemans Bay Regional Centre DCP, SEPP 65 and all other relevant planning controls, as outlined in sections below.

The proposal is comprised of 60 residential units with basement car parking.

This report provides an overview of the Proposal, assessed any associated impacts and demonstrates compliance with relevant planning controls.

Under the provisions of the Eurobodalla Local Environmental Plan (ELEP) 2012, the subject site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. The proposal comprises a "residential flat building" and is permissible with development consent under these planning provisions.

The application was subject to pre-lodgement consultation with Eurobodalla Council. The proposed design has been updated to incorporate Council feedback received during the application process.

Clause 4.3 of the ELEP 2012 includes a maximum height of building requirement, and the proposed building has a maximum height that exceeds this. Consequently, the application is also supported by a request pursuant to Clause 4.6 of the ELEP 2012 to consider this aspect of the proposal. This forms Annexure 1 to this SEE.

The application is accompanied by Architectural Plans and comprehensive separate expert assessment including the following:

- Architectural Plans and SEPP 65 Design Statement prepared by Place Studio;
- Landscaping Plans prepared by Place Landscape;
- Traffic and Parking Assessment prepared by CJP Consulting Engineers;
- Access Report prepared by Accessible Building Solutions;
- Acoustic assessment prepared by SLR Consulting Australia;
- Arborist assessment prepared by Jacksons Nature Works;
- BCA assessment prepared by AllCert;
- Stormwater Concept Design prepared by Telford Civil;
- Flood Risk Management Report prepared by Telford Civil;

- Public Domain works Civil Engineering Plans prepared by Telford Civil;
- Assessor Construction Summary by Gradwell Consulting;
- BASIX & NatHERS prepared by Gradwell Consulting;
- Geotechnical Reports prepared by CEC Geotechnical;
- Cost plan prepared by MCG Quantity Surveyors:
- SWMMP prepared by Auswide Consulting.
- Archaeological Technical Report by Eco Logical Australia
- Fire Engineering Review by Holmes Fire

This SEE considers the site, the surrounding locality, the proposed development and relevant town planning controls. The SEE includes an assessment of the proposal having regard to the matters for consideration as listed under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. The assessment concludes that the development, within its local context, is satisfactory and should be approved.

### **1.1 DESCRIPTION OF SITE AND LOCALITY**

The subject site is located in Batemans Bay and is within walking distance to a range of amenities including the beach, shops, cafes, restaurants, clubs, medical facilities, supermarkets and other essential services.

The subject site is located at 20 Heradale Parade, Batemans Bay. The cadastral description of the block is Lot 1 DP 1135117.

- The site has a primary frontage to Heradale Parade & Bavarde Avenue.
- The site has a total site area of 8410m<sup>2</sup>
- The site currently has two residential dwelling houses
- The site shares the north and western boundaries with 'Batemans Bay Hospital'
- Across the road, to the south is a City Church
- Surrounding land uses are primarily residential in nature consisting of detached houses low density residential.



Figure 1: Locality – Source: SixMaps

### **1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL**

20 Heradale Parade, Batemans Bay has two small residential structures on the site. The proposal aims to demolish the existing dwelling and build a new medium-density multi-unit housing development.

The proposed works include:

- 2 One-Bedroom, 12 Two-Bedroom, 42 Three-Bedroom units & 4 Four-Bedroom units including 17 adaptable units.
- Provision for new driveway to basement with 88 car spaces and 3 Car wash bays on Heradale Parade
- Communal Gym & Pool and New landscaping around the site.

NOLE STORE THR PROPINI PARADO INGLE STOREY BRICK HOUSE 12PM WINTER SUNRISE NTER SUNSET 21 JUNE 21 JUNE 4:54PM 7:00AM 12PM N 9AN EQUINOX EQUINOX AFTERNOON BREEZE SUBJECT SITE MMER SUNSET SUMMER SUNRISE 21 DECEMBER 1 DECEMBER 5:41AM 8:06PM BAVARDE AVENUE CALM MORNING BREEZE

Figure 2: Site Plan - Source: Place Studio

The design is contemporary with glazing employed to maximize natural light and the outlook towards the vegetation to the west and water to the north-east. The façade articulation and expressed finishes act to modulate the building scale and provide privacy to surrounding properties, resulting in a design that is considered appropriate for the locality.

The design is also considered compatible with the future character of the area which is largely zoned medium-density residential (refer Figure 3). Sites surrounding the development are likely to be redeveloped in coming years as older single dwelling houses are re-generated through urban infill and redevelopment to meet the housing needs of the south coast region.

## 2. PLANNING CONSTRAINTS

Key planning constraints for the site are discussed in the following sections.

### 2.1 Solar Access

45 out of 60 apartments (75%) receive three or more hours of solar access to their primary living area and principle outdoor area between 9am and 3pm on the Winter solstice.

9 apartments (15%) do not receive solar access between 9am and 3pm on the Winter solstice. This is primarily due to the south-easterly orientation of Bavarde Avenue.

Generally, apartments have very good solar access with the majority of units having Northfacing living spaces, achieving the targeted solar access to the primary living area and principle outdoor area outlined in the Apartment Design Guide.

### 2.2 Overlooking

There are neighboring houses along one side of the subject site with their backyards oriented towards the proposed development. Potential overlooking has been addressed through significant setbacks and the provision of a substantial landscape buffer through this section of the site.

### 2.3 Car Parking & Infrastructure

### Parking

The subject site has a basement entry on Heradale Parade. It is noted that the acid sulphate soil risk creates limitations in terms of the basement footprint and depth, which in turn restricts the Proponent's ability to provide multiple levels of basement car parking.

Discussions with Council during the DA review process indicated Council were supportive of applying the "high density residential" parking rates specified in the RMS Guide, given the proximity of the site to the Batemans Bay town centre. Based on this target, parking is provided at the below rates:

Use	Rate	Quantity	Target
1 Bedroom	0.6 Spaces / Unit	2 Units	1.2 Spaces
2 Bedroom	0.9 Spaces / Unit	12 Units	10.8 Spaces
3 Bedroom +	1.4 Spaces / Unit	46 Units	64.4 Spaces
Visitor	0.2 Spaces / Unit	60 Units	12 Spaces
Total:			88.4 Spaces

Parking Provided on Site: 88 Car spots + 3 dedicated Car wash bays for residents.

### Infrastructure

The site is accessible via Heradale Parade & Bavarde Ave and hasbasement access and waste facilities access via Heradale Parade. As these services are provided on site, parking and waste management will not extend into or impact the surrounding street network. The proximity of the development to Batemans Bay provides good access to public transport routes to Moruya, Catalina and Princess Hwy.

### 2.4 Natural Hazards

### 2.4.1 Bushfire

The subject site not identified as being Bush Fire Prone on the rural fire service bushfire prone map tool. As such, the development is not subject to any additional BAL requirements.

### 2.4.2 Acid Sulphates

The subject site is located within an acid sulphate soil area and has been categorised as 'class 3' which means acid sulphate is expected to be found beyond 1m below the natural ground level. Given the size of the site and the requirement for on site car parking, disturbance of some soils is considered an unavoidable impact. Notwithstanding this, to minimise disturbance excavation has been minimised through incorporation of only one level of basement parking.



> 1m < 3m BELOW THE GROUND SURFACE



## 3. SITE SUITABILITY

The subject site is suitable for the proposed development as follows:

### **3.1 Location**

The subject site is located in Batemans Bay and is within walking distance (approx. 500m-1km) to a range of amenities including the beach, shops, cafes, restaurants, clubs, medical facilities, supermarkets and other essential services.

There are also a number of other residential developments occurring in the surrounding areas of a similar scale and density as what is proposed on the subject site. The development is also consistent with the zone. As such, the subject site is considered a suitable location for a multi-unit residential development.

### 3.2 Character

The proposed development takes into consideration the future desired character of this precinct. Setbacks, articulation, and material application ensure that the height and visual aspects of the proposal provide a high-quality outcome, while also considering the existing context.

The materials proposed in the development include pre-cast concrete and timber look cladding. The architecture features horizontal and vertical elements through balustrades and louvered screening, in addition to vertical feature timber cladding. The design is considered compatible with the future character of the area which is largely zoned medium-density residential (refer Figure 4). Sites surrounding the development are likely to be redeveloped in coming years as older single dwelling houses are re-generated through urban infill and redevelopment to meet the housing needs of the south coast region.



### **3.3 Visual Compatibility**

Other adjoining areas are expected to go through a transitionary period of intensification with older single dwelling houses being redeveloped for medium density housing compatible with the zoning. As such, the development is both consistent with recent redevelopments in the area and will also be consistent with the future character of the area.

In addition, the proposed development does not have any unacceptable impacts on surrounding properties by way of overshadowing, noise or traffic. The site is presently being utilised for housing. On this basis, redevelopment is considered to generate an improved visual outcome for the Street.

### 3.4 Zoning

The subject site is zoned R3: Medium Density Residential. The proposed development is permitted in the zone and the scale of the development is consistent with Local Environmental Plan (LEP).

The objectives of the zone are addressed through the proposed development as follows:

Objective	To provide for the housing needs of the community within a medium density residential environment.
Response	The proposed development will provide an 60 residential units to Batemans Bay which will help to alleviate some of the housing shortfalls being experienced by residents of the area.
Objective Response	To provide a variety of housing types within a medium density residential environment. The proposed development includes a mix of one bedroom, two-bedroom and three-plus bedroom apartments in a range of formats and sizes.
Objective	To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.
Response	Only residential uses are proposed as part of this residential redevelopment.
Objective	To encourage tourist and visitor accommodation in areas of demand subject to controls to ensure the adequate protection of a permanent residential housing supply and amenity.
Response	The proposed development is comprised solely of residential units. However, individuals could choose to sublet the units for tourist accommodation.
Objective Response	To encourage walking, cycling and the use of public transport. The subject site is well located in close proximity to a broad range of amenities and essential services.

### 3.5 Use of the Land

The site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential, and the proposed medium density residential use is consistent with the zone and LEP. Surrounding land uses are generally residential, with Batemans Bay Hospital located to the west, separated by both vegetation and significant topography. On this basis, the proposed development is considered an appropriate use of the land.

## 4. STATUTORY PLANNING

This part of the SEE assesses the proposal in accordance with the Environmental Planning and local Council Controls. The following planning controls have been considered in the preparation of this application:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
- SEPP Biodiversity and Conservation 2021
- SEPP Building Sustainability Index: BASIX 2004
- SEPP Exempt & Complying Development Codes 2008
- SEPP Housing 2021
- SEPP Industry & Employment 2021
- SEPP Planning Systems 2021
- SEPP Primary Production 2021
- SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021
- Eurobodalla Shire Local Environmental Plan 2012
- Eurobodalla Shire Development Control Plan 2011
- SEPP 65 Apartment Design Guide

### **Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979**

In accordance with the Planning & Assessment Act (1979), Council is required to consider relevant matters under Section of the Act. The following sections provide details to assist council in this assessment. The key environmental planning issues associated with the proposed development are:

- Compliance with relevant planning policies and controls
- Flooding, Stormwater and Drainage
- Transport, Traffic and Parking

An assessment of issues including compliance with relevant policies and controls, environmental considerations like flooding, stormwater, drainage, transport, traffic, and parking is provided in the following subsections.

### 4.1 State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP)

The following subsections assess the proposal against the relevant provisions of applicable Environmental Planning Instruments (EPIs), Draft EPIs, Development Control Plans (DCPs), Planning Agreements and matters prescribed by the Regulation in accordance with section 4.15(1)(a) of the EP&A Act.

### 4.2 SEPP Biodiversity & Conservation 2021

The subject site is zoned R3 and as such, this SEPP applies to development.

However, it is not assessed as applicable as no significant tree or vegetation clearing is required or proposed as part of this application and the site is outside the Sydney Water Drinking Catchment.

### 4.3 SEPP Building Sustainability Index: BASIX 2004

A BASIX certificate has been prepared and is submitted with the DA.

### 4.4 SEPP Exempt & Complying Development Codes 2008

This SEPP has been reviewed and is assessed as being non-applicable as the proposed development cannot be assessed as an exempt or complying development.

### 4.5 SEPP Housing 2021

The Housing SEPP has been reviewed and is not applicable to the proposed development as the development does not include any of the housing types mentioned in the SEPP.

### 4.6 SEPP Industry & Employment 2021

This SEPP has been reviewed and is not applicable as the site is outside of the mapped employment and industry areas to which the policy applies.

### 4.7 SEPP Planning Systems 2021

Not applicable. No state significant development is proposed.

### 4.8 SEPP Primary Production 2021

This SEPP has been reviewed and it is not applicable as no primary production is proposed.

### 4.9 SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021



The development site is located out of a Coastal Environment Area and a Coastal Use Area of State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

COASTAL MANAGEMENT SEPP - COASTAL ENVIRONMENT AREA (CMA 3)

## 4.10 SEPP 65 – Apartment Design Guide

Relevant sections of the Apartment Design Guide are assessed in Annexure 2

### 4.11 Eurobodalla Shire Local Environmental Plan 2012

The relevant provisions of LEP2012 as they relate to the subject site are considered below.

### Cl 2.1 Land use zones

The subject site is zoned R3 Medium Density.

The proposed development is a medium-density residential development consistent with permitted use 'residential flat building'. This type of development is permitted with consent under Part 3 of the land use table in the ELEP.



### Cl 4.3 - Height of Buildings

The proposed maximum building height is up to 15.65m (lift overrun). The maximum building height provisions of the LEP 2012 is 12.5m & 11.5m. Therefore, the proposed building height is over the allowable height limit as noted in the drawing set.

A Clause 4.6 variation is submitted with this application (Ref: Clause 4.6 Variation Statement (cl 4.3 Height by BMA Urban) is submitted with this application.



### Compliance Table

No.	ltem	LEP Control	Proposed	Compliance
1	Zoning	R3 Medium Density.	Medium-density residential development	Yes
2	Height	12.5m & 11.5 m	13.5 m	No

### Cl 4.4 - Floor Space Ratio

There is no FSR restriction on the site. In the absence of this rule, the development is assessed as compliant.

### Cl 5.21 – Flood Planning

The proposed development responds to environmental conditions by raising the ground floor level of the building and units to mitigate risk of flood impact to units. The development also maintains an overland drainage easement along the southern boundary to comply with flood and stormwater management requirements from Council.

### Cl 5.3 Development Near Zone Boundaries

The subject site is not within 20m of any different zonings.

### Cl 6.3 Acid Sulfate Soils

The subject property is identified as being affected by Class 3 Acid Sulphate Soils. The proposed development does not involve excessive excavation and the works are not anticipated to impact the water table. Based on the soil conditions, only one level of basement parking has been included to minimise the risk of disruption, exposure and impact.

### **Cl 4.6 Exceptions to Development Standards**

The proposed development contravenes the height of building standard. There is a height breach to allow for overland flow considerations, facade articulation, and lift overrun to service all levels of the building. Due to the site topography this is required to provide suitable vertical circulation for the development.

This is addressed in more detail in the Clause 4.6 included as part of this application.

### 4.12 Eurobodalla Shire Development Control Plan 2011

Relevant sections of the DCP are addressed as follows:

### Batemans Bay R3 Medium Density Residential Zone

The Residential General Precinct envelops the town centre and is to provide a range of varied housing opportunities that cater for both tourists and permanent residents. This area has a high level of accessibility to public transport, shopping, community facilities and employment. Controls have been established to ensure that development in this precinct complements the existing urban character.

Porformanco Critorio	Accontable Solution	Proponent Posponeo
Performance Criteria P1 All buildings are sited to minimise the risk to human life and damage to property by avoiding steep and unstable land.	Acceptable Solution A1.1 No development or land clearing shall occur on slopes equal to or greater than 1:4 (or 25 %). A1.2 Where slopes are greater than 1:6.5 (or 15%) a report prepared by a	Proponent Response Complies. Subject site does not have a slope greater than 1:4.
	qualified geo-technical engineer or soil conservationist is required to consider the suitability of the site for residential development having regard to the stability of the land.	
Front Boundary Setbacks P4 Buildings are setback to contribute to the existing or proposed streetscape character, assist in the blending of new development into the streetscape, make efficient use of the site and provide amenity for residents	<b>A4.1</b> For infill development other than neighbourhood shops, buildings and all other structures must be setback from the road frontage to within 20% of the average front setbacks of the adjoining buildings, but no less than the smaller of the existing setbacks.	<b>Complies.</b> The development is setback by 4.5m & 4m.
	<b>A4.2</b> Neighbourhood shops must be setback a minimum of 3 metres from the road frontage.	
	<b>A4.3</b> In new subdivisions where a setback has not been established a setback of 5.5m applies. Up to 50% of the front façade of the dwelling (excluding garages or carports) may be setback 4.5m from the front boundary.	
	<b>A4.4</b> Garages that have the door facing the street frontage and all carports must be set back a minimum of 5.5 metres from the property boundary	
Side Boundary Setback	<b>A5</b> The minimum setback to a side boundary is;	<b>Complies.</b> The proposal is setback 6m from side boundary.

$\mathbf{P}$			(	$\sum$	
S	Т	U	D	I	0

Dorformonoo Critorio	Acceptable Solution	Drananant Dechange
Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
<b>P5</b> Buildings are setback to reduce	• For the first floor, or for a single	
overbearing and perceptions of	storey building, 900mm (including	
building bulk on adjoining	a minimum of 600mm to the eaves	
properties and minimises	or gutters, whichever is the	
overshadowing impacts on	closest);	
adjoining properties	• For any part of the building higher	
	than 4.5m, 1.5m (including a	
	minimum of 1.2m to the eaves or	
	gutters, whichever is the closest);	
	• For any part of the building higher	
	than 7.5m, 1.5m (including a minimum of 1.2m to the eaves or	
	gutters, whichever is the closest)	
	where it adjoins land zoned R3	
	Medium Density Residential or a	
	Business Zone and 2m (including a minimum of 1.7m to the eaves or	
	gutters, whichever is the closest)	
	elsewhere;	
	• For single storey (up to a height of 3.8m) sheds, detached garages and	
	other detached ancillary buildings	
	(eg. gazebos, aviaries, green houses, pool	
Rear Boundary Setback	A6.1 A minimum rear boundary	Complies. All structures are
<b>P6</b> Buildings are setback so that	setback of 3m applies to all	setback at least 3m-12m from the
they do not reduce the use and	buildings except:	rear boundary.
enjoyment of public, private or	– sheds;	real boundary.
communal open space provided at	– detached garages; and	
the rear of adjoining residential	– other detached non-habitable	
development by being in close	ancillary buildings.	
proximity, overshadowing or	up to a height of 3.8m.	
overlooking the open space.		
	<b>A6.2</b> A minimum rear boundary	
	setback of 450mm applies to all:	
	- sheds;	
	– detached garages; and	
	– other detached not-habitable	
	ancillary buildings,	
	up to a height of 3.8m.	
	The above minimum rear boundary	
	setbacks also apply to allotments	
	with a rear boundary to a road	
Private Open Space	A1.1 Each dwelling must be	<b>Complies</b> . Areas of private open
<b>P1</b> Private open space is designed	provided with a minimum of 24m <sup>2</sup>	space provide amenity, functional
and located to:	of private open space at ground	and privacy. Private open space is
- enhance residential amenity	level and/or above ground level	graded, and all areas are accessible
- be functional for private	which must:	from habitable living spaces and is
recreational activities;	- not be steeper than 1 in 50 in	oriented to achieve maximum solar
- allow for landscape design;	grade	access and visual privacy.
- optimise solar access; and	- be of a predominantly northern	
- increase visual privacy,	exposure, that takes advantage of	
to promote the enjoyment of	outlook and reduces adverse	
outdoor living by residents.	privacy and overshadowing	
	impacts from adjacent buildings;	

$\mathbf{P}$			(	$\sum$	
S	Т	U	D	I	0

Porformance Criteria	Accontable Solution	Proposent Possesso
Performance Criteria         Dwellings with Combinations of         Ground and Above Level POS         P3.1 Private open space at ground         level or above ground level is         functional and responsive to the         environment to promote the         enjoyment of outdoor living by         residents.	<ul> <li>Acceptable Solution <ul> <li>serve as an extension of the</li> <li>dwelling for relaxation,</li> <li>entertainment and recreation</li> <li>purposes by being accessible to the</li> <li>living areas; and <ul> <li>be located behind the building</li> <li>line.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A1.2 Where a secondary dwelling is proposed, it must share the private open space provided for the principal dwelling &amp; not be separated in any way.</li> <li>A3 Where the dwelling has direct access to the ground level or similar space on a structure such as a podium or carpark, an individual entrance and is two storeys in height, private open space must meet the general and following requirements: <ul> <li>either be a minimum area of 24</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Proponent Response Complies. Areas of private open space exceed the minimum dimensions. All dwellings have access to both above ground and ground level private open space.
<b>P3.2</b> On land zoned R3: – Where communal open space cannot be provided in accordance with the acceptable solutions, space that meets all of the General Requirements for private open space may be acceptable. Proximity to public outdoor recreation areas within	• either be a minimum area of 24 m <sup>2</sup> of private open space provided mainly at ground level, no part of which has a minimum dimension less than 4m and the balance on a balcony/deck or terrace (the exact area apportionment to be determined by design); or a minimum balcony area of 10m2 and minimum dimension of 2m (greater area and dimension is encouraged where practical) if at above ground level.	
<ul> <li>Dwellings with Above Ground</li> <li>Level POS Only</li> <li>P4.1 Private open space above ground level and communal open space at ground level is functional and responsive to the environment to promote the enjoyment of outdoor living by apartment residents.</li> <li>P4.2 On land zoned R3: - Where communal open space cannot be provided in accordance with the acceptable solutions, space that meets all of the General Requirements for private open space may be acceptable. Proximity to public outdoor recreation areas within 400m walking may be taken into account in considering a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A4 For each dwelling that does not have an individual entrance at ground level or a ground level private open space is to be provided in the form of a balcony and communal open space. The general and following requirements must be met in this regard:</li> <li>contain a balcony with a minimum dimension of 2 metres (greater area and dimension is encouraged where practical);</li> <li>locate the balcony with direct access to the main living rooms of the dwelling;</li> <li>provide a communal open space area, multiplying the number of units by the 24m<sup>2</sup> private open space area,</li> </ul>	Complies. Balcony private open space is provided to above ground units and complies with requirements as follows: • Balconies have a minimum dimension of 2m and all 3- bedroom units have a balcony with a area greater than 10 m <sup>2</sup> . • All balconies are directly accessible from the main living area • There is a total of 4,440 m <sup>2</sup> of open space provided at ground level as part of the Proposal.



Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
reduction in the provision of	minus the area provided as a	
communal open space.	balcony;	
communal open space.	balcony,	
Landscaping P4 Sites are landscaped to complement and soften the built form of development, enhance the streetscape, provide amenity to occupants and reduce stormwater run-off.	<ul> <li>A4 The minimum landscaped area of the site must consist of:</li> <li>20% of the site area used for residential development, including;</li> <li>50% of the front setback for development other than neighbourhood shops; and Calculation of minimum landscaped area must not include any area with a minimum dimension less than 1m.</li> </ul>	<b>Complies.</b> The block area is approx. 8,410 m <sup>2</sup> and the footprint of the residential development is approx. 3,181 m <sup>2</sup> . The site landscaping requirements are met.
Parking & Access	A2 All development must comply	Achieves Intent
<b>P1</b> All development must provide parking and access sufficient to cater for the maximum demand for the development in accordance with a Traffic Study performed by a qualified professional and approved by Council.	with the Parking and Access Code. 2cars for 2 or more bedroom unit. 1 car wash bay for every 20 units 2x58 Units = 116 Cars 58 Units = 3 Car Wash Bays	88 Cars + 3 Car wash bays Parking complies with the RMS Guide for Traffic Generation, per discussions with Council regarding proximity to town centre.
Safer By Design	A1.1 For dual occupancies;	Complies.
<b>P1</b> Developments are designed to ensure the security of residents and visitors and their property, and to enhance the perception of community safety.	<ul> <li>The main entrance must be clearly visible from the street</li> <li>Windows must be located to allow casual surveillance of the street from the dwellings</li> <li>A1.2 All development must comply with the Safer By Design Code.</li> </ul>	The principles of the 'Safer By Design Code' are satisfied as follows: • Passive surveillance to the Street and building entries is provided through windows, balconies and courtyards facing the Street front. • The waste enclosure a secured space in the proposed development. Landscaping to communal areas is generally behind secured gates and there are minimal opportunities for hiding or entrapment given the well-lit nature of the communal areas and ability for passive surveillance noting common areas adjoin public walkways. All car parking areas will be secured within the basement.
Views P1 Development allows for the reasonable sharing of views through the siting, height and design of buildings.	A1 The design of development minimises impacts on private views and shares views where necessary by: – locating structures to provide or maintain view corridors; or – adjusting rooflines, or modifying building bulk or scale; or – demonstrating regard and consideration of views in the development design.	Not applicable. The proposed development does not significantly impact any view lines.

Performance Criteria Building Bulk & Scale	Acceptable Solution A1 Where all levels above ground	Proponent Response The subject site is not within the
<b>P1</b> Building design is readily	level are principally dedicated to	main business district and does
adapted to accommodate two or	residential accommodation, the	not have frontage to a main
more different uses over the life of	first floor level must be structured	pedestrian route. Notwithstanding
the building without the need for	so that it can be retro-fitted for	this, ground level units could
structural alterations. This can be	commercial space as future	accommodate home businesses.
achieved through variations in the inter floor levels of the	demand dictates.	
development.		
<b>P3</b> Development conforms to the	A3 On sloping sites, buildings must	<b>Complies.</b> The propose work on site
topography of the site and is not of	step down the block.	are in largely flat and development
a bulk or scale that is out of character with the local area.		is compatible with this.
Street Frontage & Façade	A4.1 Development must be	<b>Complies</b> . The development has
Treatment	orientated toward the street with	been designed to be
<b>P4</b> The facades of buildings relate	front entrances visible from the	complementary. The development
sympathetically to the existing	street allow casual surveillance of	is oriented to the Street front and
buildings nearby and are designed	entrance points.	includes windows and balconies
to architecturally express the		addressing the street to ensure
different functions of the building.		passive surveillance.
<b>P6</b> Building design enhances the	<b>A6.1</b> For residential development,	The façade is articulated through
streetscape through façade	façades must be articulated by	incorporation of a variety of
articulation, detailing and window	doors, windows, balconies decks or	materials. The façade includes
and door proportions.	wall offsets such that no more than	varying profiles and horizontal
	five horizontal metres of the facade	elements, as well as fins which
	is blank.	protrude beyond the façade and act as a divider between balconies.
	A6.2 The building design must	Balconies and floor to ceiling
	incorporate at least one of the	windows also add visual interest
	following architectural features:	through creation rhythm and
	• eaves and overhangs of roof	verticality.
	structures;	
	<ul> <li>verandahs and balconies (above ground level);</li> </ul>	90% of the Street front is
	• a variety of building materials and	considered articulated through varying façade elements. No blank
	coordinated colours;	walls present to the Street front.
	• recesses and variation to built	
	walls; or	
	• large windows and doors to the	
	street frontages.	
	<b>A6.3</b> Buildings must not present	
	blank facades to streets or public	
	spaces	
Style and Visual Amenity	A4 New development must be	<b>Complies.</b> The palette of colours,
<b>P4.1</b> The building design is in the	designed to be consistent with the	materials and architectural form
existing or desired character of the area and visually compatible with	existing development and sympathetic with surrounding	proposed is compatible with existing residential development in
the existing and desired	development in terms of style and	the area.
streetscape and environment.	orientation of openings, roof pitch,	The proposed architecture in no
	materials, colours and general style	way compromises the design
P4.2 New development does not		integrity of adjacent dwellings.
compromise the design integrity of		

Performance Criteria the existing development and preserves and enhances the amenity of the surrounding environment.	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
Fences in Residential Zones P1 The design of fences preserves and enhances the existing streetscape and contributes to the amenity of both public and private space.	A1.1 The height of fences must be no greater than 1.2m forward of the building line or the front setback and 1.8m behind the building line (as measured from the finished ground level on the lowest side of the fence). A1.2 Where acoustic fencing is required as part of a development application it must be setback from the boundary in the direction of the noise source, a minimum of 1.5m and augmented by landscape treatments in the form of trees, shrubs and groundcovers provided in front of the fencing.	<b>Complies.</b> Front fences to courtyard walls are 1.2m in height from the top of the flood podium height. The fences are transparent in nature to provide street activation and enhancement and a lower retaining wall containing landscape plantings is proposed on the outside of the fence to minimise the impact of the height.
<b>P2</b> The form, extent and materials of fencing are designed to minimise visual impact.	A2 Lengths of unmodulated solid fence (ie. Not broken up by the provision of gates or driveways): • on a property boundary fronting a road reserve, and • higher than 1.2m and greater than 15 metres long, must be provided with recessed indentations, • at least 1m wide and 1m deep; • located wholly within private property; • not more than 10m apart; and • containing planting that have a mature height at least that of the fence height. OR	Not applicable. No unmodulated fencing is proposed to the front boundary.
	Fencing incorporates a combination of visually contrasting materials.	
Adaptable Housing P1 Residential development has the ability to cater for residents with a variety of physical abilities and is responsive to the changing lifestyle needs of residents	A1 Developers proposing multi- dwelling housing, shop top housing or residential flat buildings of 4 units or more must ensure that 25% of the dwellings are adaptable housing. The applicable dwellings must comply with Australian Standard AS4299 - Adaptable Housing.	<b>Complies.</b> A total of 17 units – 28.3% adaptable units (consistent with 25%) is proposed. Refer access report confirming suitability of the units.
Visual Privacy P1 Buildings are designed to minimise direct overlooking of main living areas and private open spaces of existing dwellings by	<b>A1.1</b> Transparent doors and windows of living rooms must be designed and located so they do not directly face transparent doors or windows of living rooms or the	<b>Complies.</b> There are no adjacent residential properties with potential top overlook within 9 meters.

2	ו		(	$\sum$	
S	Т	U	D	I	0

Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
sensitive building layout, location	private open space areas of other	
and design of windows and balconies and the use of screening	residential accommodation within 9 metres.	
devices and landscaping.		
	A1.2 Planter boxes, louvre screens,	Screening has not been introduced
	pergolas, landscaping and architectural design of balconies	to balconies to ensure maximum solar access noting site orientation
	must be used to screen the ground	constraints. However, significant
	floor private open space of dwelling units or dwelling units from upper	landscape buffers have been incorporated to ensure visual
	level residential accommodation.	separation.
	Acceptable privacy measures	
	include trees, awnings, screens, fences and planter boxes to	
	minimise the ability to directly look	
	into neighbouring homes and	
	yards. The view of the area overlooked	
	must be restricted within 9m and	
	beyond a 45° angle from the plane	
	of the wall containing the opening, measured from a height of 1.7m	
	above floor level.	
Solar Access to Adjacent Dwellings	Al Maintain solar access to adjoining residential development	Existing adjoining dwelling have an north/east orientation. Principal
<b>P1.1</b> The use of natural light is	as follows:	private open space and two thirds
maximised and the need for	• For all development except where	of the adjoining dwelling footprint
artificial lighting is reduced.	an existing adjacent building has an east-west orientation: –	will maintain 3 hours of sunlight during the winter solstice. As such,
P1.2 Buildings are designed to	maintain solar access to the front	the neighbouring property
ensure adjoining residential development maintains adequate	or rear living room windows for a minimum period of 4 hours	maintains consistency with solar requirements to both the dwelling
daylight to living areas, (i.e. living,	between 9.00am and 3.00pm at the	and associated private open space.
dining or family rooms, kitchens),	winter solstice; and	
private open space and solar panels.	– where solar access already exists to the private open space of	
	adjacent dwellings, ensure it is	
	maintained over a minimum of 50%	
	of the principal private open space for a minimum period of 3 hours	
	between 9.00am and 3.00pm at the	
	winter solstice.	
	• Where an existing adjacent	
	building has an east - west	
	orientation: – maintain solar access to the	
	north facing living room windows	
	for a minimum period of 2 hours	
	between 9.00am and 3.00pm at the winter solstice; or	
	where less than 2 hours solar	
	access is currently available to the	
<u> </u>	north facing living room windows	



Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
	of existing dwellings, no additional	
	overshadowing shall be permitted	
Solar Panels	A2.1 Maintain solar access to	Complies. Solar panels are
<b>P2</b> The total energy use in	existing solar panels throughout	proposed as part of this
residential buildings is reduced.	the day at all times of the year.	development and will not impact
, j		any panels on adjoining
	A2.2 Maintain solar access to the	developments.
	north facing roofs of existing	
	dwellings (45° West to 45° East	
	variation is possible) to a fixed	
	minimum area of 10m2, capable of	
	accommodating solar panels.	
Sustainability	A1 New development must connect	<b>Complies.</b> The development will be
<b>P1</b> New development is designed to	to reticulated electricity supply	connective to reticulated electricity
minimise the generation of	where available to enable any	supply. Solar panels are
greenhouse gases.	excess power created from	incorporated to improve
	alternative renewable resources to	sustainability and reduce
	be fed back into the grid	emissions associated with the
	A2 All dwollings in residential	development.
<b>P2</b> No Performance Criteria.	<b>A2</b> All dwellings in residential	Complian All dwellings will have a
<b>P2</b> No Performance Criteria.	development must be provided with a separate water meter to	<b>Complies.</b> All dwellings will have a separate water meter.
	comply with the State	Separate water meter.
	Government's Best Practice	
	Management of Water Supply and	
	Sewerage Guidelines.	
Earthworks & Excavation	A1 Beyond the external walls of the	Complies. Earthworks have been
<b>P1</b> Development is designed to	building, the maximum cut is to be	minimised to mitigate impact to
ensure that excavation and	1m and the maximum fill is to be	acid sulphate soil conditions.
earthworks are kept to the	1m.	
minimum required for the		
development without an		
unreasonable adverse visual		
impact on the site.		
Stormwater Management	<b>A1.1</b> To avoid adverse impact on	<b>Complies.</b> Stormwater has been
P1.1 New development is designed	other development in the area, new	designed in accordance with
in accordance with a site specific	development must connect to a	Council requirements at the
Stormwater Management Plan	Council approved drainage system	detailed design stage.
(SMP), approved by Council. The	which has sufficient capacity to	
SMP will provide for the integrated	ensure that any overland	
management of stormwater in order to:	stormwater runoff from the property after the completion of the	
– minimise flooding;	development does not exceed the	
– protect and enhance	stormwater runoff level prior to the	
environmental values of receiving	development.	
waters;		
– maximise the use of water	A1.2 Development must comply	
sensitive urban design principles;	with the following where relevant:	
– maximise the use of natural	– AS3500 – Plumbing and Drainage	
waterway corridors and natural	Code;	
channel design principles;	– the Eurobodalla Development	
– maximise community benefit;	Specification Manual – Section D5	
and	Stormwater Drainage Design & D7	
– minimise public safety risk.	Erosion Control and Stormwater	
	Management; and	

2	ו		(	$\sum$	
S	Т	U	D	I	0

Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solution	Proponent Response
<b>P1.2</b> The stormwater management system or site works proposed by the SMP does not adversely impact on flooding or drainage of properties that are upstream, downstream or adjacent to the subject site.	the Design Guidelines for Rainwater Tanks Where an Existing Reticulated Water Supply Exists	
<b>P1.3</b> The design provides for stormwater quality best management practices that are sufficient to treat the target pollutants.		
Waste Management P1 Application of a site specific Site Waste Minimisation and Management Plan, approved by Council having regard to the objectives of the Code. The Plan must show that compliance with the Code is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case.	<b>A1</b> All development must comply with the Site Waste Minimisation and Management Code	<b>Complies.</b> Refer waste plans submitted with the DA.

## 5. DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

### 5.1 Traffic

The proposed use is consistent with the zone and other developments surrounding the site. A total of 91 car parking spaces is provided for 60 units. The provision of parking is therefore consistent with the minimum requirements of the RMS Guide For Traffic Generation in terms of parking spaces.

The Proposed Development is not expected to generate significant traffic and the local network is considered sufficient to accommodate any minor increase.

### 5.2 Economic

The proposed development represents a significant investment in Batemans Bay, which is an area of the Shire that is going through significant regeneration and investment. The development is expected to generate significant market interest in terms of residential sales and will generate jobs for the local construction industry.

The Proposal will also help to ameliorate the housing crisis being experienced in the Shire through the provision of additional dwellings, as well as affordable dwellings (when compared to a standard house price).

### 5.3 Public interest

The proposed development meets the zone objectives and does not have any adverse impact on existing adjoining properties.

In addition, the development provides additional affordable housing to the area and contributes towards urban intensification of appropriately zoned land (in keeping with Council strategic objectives). Given the benefits associated, and the fact that there are negligible impacts associated, the development is considered in the public interest.

### 5.4 Overshadowing

Accompanying the supporting documentation are shadow diagrams which show the extent of overshadowing at the winter solstice.

It must be acknowledged that the locality is transitioning from a low-density residential environment to the more intensive development envisaged under the planning controls applying under the ELEP 2012. The taller, more intensive development will clearly result in greater overshadowing than expected in a low-density environment. Consequently, it is not reasonable to expect the maintenance of direct sunlight at levels currently experienced.

### 5.5 Noise

The development is a residential proposal and is therefore not considered to be a noisy use. As such, neighbouring properties will not be impacted by way of noise in the long term. There may be some initial disturbance as a result of construction activities. However, construction activities and noise levels will be managed in accordance with EPA requirements and will therefore have limited impact to adjoining residences.

### 5.6 Accessibility

The Access Report submitted with the DA confirms compliance with access and mobility requirements.

### 5.7 Privacy

The proposed development has been designed to maximise privacy and reduce potential for overlooking. As discussed in the responses to DCP and SEE requirements, the development is not subject to any internal overlooking of dwellings and dwellings.

In terms of privacy to neighbouring dwellings, the development meets all setback requirements and side boundaries will be landscaped to provide screening and soften the visual impact of the development. Overall, there is minimal impact to privacy as a result of this Proposal.

### 5.8 Views

The subject site does not have any significant views as views are blocked to by adjoining developments. The proposed development also has no impact to sight lines from adjoining properties. As such, the proposed development is not considered to have an impact in terms of views.

### 5.9 Flooding

The subject site is in a flood prone area and the ground floor level has been raised to mitigate any risk of flooding. In addition, an overland flow path has been retained on the entire to ensure a clear stormwater run-off path.

### 5.10 Biodiversity

The subject site holds tree and Vegetation zone, which has been clearly identified and new works are proposed in this area.

### 5.11 Bushfire

The subject site is not within a bushfire prone area.

### 5.12 Sustainability & Energy Efficiency

The development has been oriented to maximise solar access and cross ventilation. Most dwellings are cross ventilated which will reduce reliance on cooling during warmer months. Rooftop solar panels are proposed to improve energy efficiency and reduce emissions associated with the development. The dwellings will be connected to the grid so that any excess power from the panels can be fed back into the local supply.

### 5.13 Waste Management

Waste is to be managed via an enclosed waste facility within the development.

### 5.14 Submissions

It is envisaged that the development application once submitted to Council will be placed on public exhibition; and the general public will be afforded an opportunity to review the documentation supporting the application. Any public submissions made following the exhibition will need to be taken into consideration by Council when it determines the application.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This Statement of Environmental Effects (SEE) supports a development application that seeks Eurobodalla Shire Council's consent to the demolition of an existing dwelling, and construction of a residential flat building comprising a total of 60 residential units, with parking for 88 vehicles & 3 Car wash bays in the basement.

Under the provisions of the Eurobodalla Local Environmental Plan (ELEP) 2012, the subject site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. The proposal comprises a "residential flat building" and is permissible with development consent under these planning provisions.

Clause 4.3 of the ELEP 2012 includes a maximum height of building, and the proposed building has a maximum height that exceeds this. Consequently, the application is also supported by a request pursuant to Clause 4.6 of the ELEP 2012 to consider this aspect of the proposal. This forms Annexure 1 to this SEE.

This SEE considers the site, the surrounding locality, the proposed development and relevant town planning controls. The SEE includes an assessment of the proposal having regard to the matters for consideration as listed under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.

The proposed new residential flat building is appropriate to the existing and future context and creates large-sized apartments for future occupants to enjoy. The proposal has minimal impact to the surrounding properties and is appropriate to the local streetscape and steep site topography. The assessment concludes that the development, within its local context, is satisfactory and should be approved.